manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

A new test for stick-slip limit cycles in dry-friction oscillators with small nonlinear friction characteristics

Oleg Makarenkov

arXiv:1605.05030v1 [math.DS] 17 May 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We consider a dry friction oscillator on a moving belt with both the Coulomb friction and a small nonlinear addition which can model e.g. the Stribeck effect. By using the perturbation theory, we establish a new sufficient condition for the nonlinearity to ensure the occurrence of a stick-slip limit cycle. The test obtained is more accurate compared to what one gets by building upon the divergence test.

Keywords Dry-friction oscillator · perturbation theory · stick-slip limit cycle · grazing-sliding bifurcation · Stribeck effect

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 34A36 · 37G15 · 70F40

1 Introduction

Dry friction oscillator on a moving belt (Fig. 1) is a fundamental model of classical mechanics. One of the main aims of this model is its ability to resemble the stick-slip oscillations crucial in understanding the tectonic fault in seismology [22,18,3], squealing noise in automotive industry [19,7,6], hysteresis effect in drilling systems [12]. Being a simplified model, the dry friction oscillator is not capable to accurately simulate the dynamics of a tectonic fault or a brake squeal. The role of simplified (conceptual) models is different. They are rather supposed to provide handy maps that unveil important phenomena and computable conditions for the parameters to be further tested in experiments or in simulations on comprehensive models (see an interesting discussion in [8]). Indeed, derivation of suitable Poincaré maps allowed the authors of [23,2] to prove the existence of chaotic sticking motions in the model of Fig. 1. The work [5] uses the divergence analysis to link the lack of stick-slip oscillations to the parameters of Stribeck friction characteristics. The authors of [15,14, 20] derive conditions for stick-slip oscillations in particular equations of mass-spring oscillator on a frictional belt by computing closed-form equations of motion.



Fig. 1 Dry friction oscillator on a moving belt.

In this paper we offer sufficient conditions for the occurrence of stick-slip oscillations in the model of Fig. 1 assuming the friction characteristics is just arbitrary, but small. Specifically, we assume that the oscillator under consideration is modeled by

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ &\dot{x}_2 + \varepsilon c x_1 + x_2 = -\mathrm{sign}(x_2 - V)\,(1 + F(x_2 - V, \varepsilon))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter and where the dry friction characteristics is a combination of the Coulomb term $\mathrm{sign}(x_2 - V)$ and an arbitrary Lipschitz ingredient $F(x_2 - V, \varepsilon)$ which satisfies

$$F(0, \varepsilon) \equiv F(x_2, 0) \equiv 0. \tag{2}$$

O. Makarenkov
Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas, 75080 Richardson, USA
E-mail: makarenkov@utdallas.edu

The main result of the paper states that stick-slip oscillations take place, if

$$cV\pi < \int_0^{2\pi} F'_\varepsilon(V\cos\tau - V, 0)\cos\tau d\tau. \tag{3}$$

The method of proof is perturbation theory that already demonstrated its efficiency in various dry friction problems, see [4,1].

The paper is organized as follows. The next section spots the line segment of the line $x_2 = V$ where the solutions of (1) stick to $x_2 = V$. To discuss sticking rigorously, the Filippov definition of solution of discontinuous differential equations is introduced and some basic background is presented. In section 3 we show that the role of nonlinearity in the occurrence of stick-slip oscillations in (1) is pivotal. Specifically, we show that just Coulomb friction along is insufficient to produce stick-slip limit cycles, if the viscous friction is small. The main result of the paper (Proposition 2) is proved in section 4, where we derive a condition to ensure that the initial condition $(1, V)$ whose 2π-periodic trajectory just touches the line $x_2 = V$ when $\varepsilon = 0$ transforms into an initial condition $(1 - \varepsilon cV, V)$ those trajectory does return back to $x_2 = V$ over the time $2\pi + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ and slides along $x_2 = V$ until it reaches $(1-\varepsilon cV, V)$. Such a transition is know as grazing-sliding bifurcation in the field of nonsmooth dynamical systems, see [17]. In section 5 we specify the main result of the paper for the case of Stribeck nonlinearity and identify the parameters of Stribeck nonlinearity (Proposition 3) which lead to the occurrence of a stick-slip limit cycle. In section 5.1 we compare Proposition 3 with what one obtains by using the divergence based test.

We would like to stress that the focus of this work is on the development of a rigorous mathematical theory of the dry-friction oscillator. Readers interested in simulation results are referred to other papers extensively available in the literature, see e.g. [24,19,5,10].

2 Sliding along the switching threshold

We remind the reader that for a system of piecewise smooth differential equations

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

discontinuous along the line $x_2 = V$, a Filippov solution x is an absolutely continuous function that satisfies

$$\dot{x}(t) \in K[f](x(t)), \quad \text{for a. a } t,$$

where $K[f](x) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in[0,1]} (\lambda f(x, V^-) + (1-\lambda) f(x, V^+))$ is the convexification of the discontinuous function f and

$$f(x_1, V^-) = \lim_{x_2 \to V-0} f_2(x_1, x_2),$$
$$f(x_1, V^+) = \lim_{x_2 \to V+0} f_2(x_1, x_2).$$

The point (x_1, V) is a point of sliding, if

$$\lim_{x_2\to V^-} f_2(x_1, x_2) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x_2\to V^+} f_2(x_1, x_2) < 0.$$

Furthermore, the Filippov equation of sliding along $x_2 = V$ is

$$\dot{x} \in K[f](x) \cap \mathbb{R} \times \{0\},$$

because the set of all vectors tangent to the switching manifold $x_2 = V$ is $\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}$ at any point of this switching manifold.

Consequently, a point (x_1, V) is a point of sliding for (1), if

$$-x_1 - \varepsilon cV + 1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -x - \varepsilon cV - 1 < 0,$$

see Fig. 2. The Filippov equation of sliding along $x_2 =$



Fig. 2 The behavior of vector fields $x_1 \to f(x_1, V^-)$ and $x_1 \to f(x_1, V^+)$ of (1) along the line $x_2 = V$.

V becomes

$$\dot{x}_1 = V,$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = 0,$$

as far as system (1) is concerned. The following simple proposition will be our main tool in spotting stick-slip limit cycles for (1).

Proposition 1 *Let $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ be a Filippov solution of (1) with the initial condition $(x_1(0), x_2(0)) = (1 - \varepsilon c, V)$. If*

$$x(\bar{t}) \in (-1 - \varepsilon cV, 1 - \varepsilon cV) \quad \textit{and} \quad x_2(\bar{t}) = V$$

for some $\bar{t} > 0$, then $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ is a finite-time stable limit cycle of (1).

The proof is a combination of 3 facts: 1) any solution of (1) with the initial condition in $(-1 - \varepsilon cV, 1 - \varepsilon cV) \times \{V\}$ reaches the point $(1 - \varepsilon cV, V)$; 2) without loss of generality we can assume that $x_2(t) < V$, $t \in (0, \bar{t})$, and $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ is the unique solution of (1) on the interval $(0, \bar{t})$; 3) the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ reaches $x_2 = V$ at $t = \bar{t}$ transversally and so the solutions of (1) that originate near $(x_1(0), x_2(0))$ do reach $x_2 = V$ too.

3 The case of Coulomb dry friction

In this case $f \equiv 0$ and equation (1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 - 1, \end{aligned} \qquad \text{if } x_2 > V, \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 + 1, \end{aligned} \qquad \text{if } x_2 < V. \tag{5}$$

The system (5) possesses a globally stable equilibrium $(1, 0)$. Let us show that this implies that the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ of (5) with the initial condition $(x_1(0), x_2(0)) = (1 - \varepsilon c, V)$ intersects the $x_2 > 0$ part of the cross-section $-x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 + 1 = 0$ at those $t > 0$ for which $x_2(t) \in (0, V)$ (i.e. the solutions that originate in the bold line segment of Fig. 3 land into that segment again). Indeed, assume the contrary, i.e. assume that $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ intersects the $x_2 > 0$ part of the line $-x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 + 1 = 0$ at those $t > 0$ for which $x_2(t) > V$ (dashed curve in Fig. 3). But, since $(1, 0)$ is asymptotically stable, we can construct a second trajectory that spirals towards $(1, 0)$ (solid curve in Fig. 3) and conclude the existence of a limit cycle for (5), which cannot happen because $(1, 0)$ is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of (5). The contradiction obtained proves that system (4)-(5) doesn't have closed orbits passing through the sliding region $(-1 - \varepsilon cV, 1 - \varepsilon cV) \times \{V\}$.

4 The main result

In this section we prove a general result as for how introducing a nonlinearity makes the existence of stick-slip limit cycles possible.



Fig. 3 True (solid curve) and false (dashed curve) trajectories of (4)-(5).

Proposition 2 *Let $(x_2, \varepsilon) \mapsto F(x_2, \varepsilon)$ be a Lipschitz function which is differentiable in ε and satisfies (2). If*

$$cV\pi < \int_0^{2\pi} F'_\varepsilon(V \cos\tau - V, 0) \cos\tau \, d\tau, \tag{6}$$

then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the Filippov solution x of (1) with the initial condition $x(0) = (1 - \varepsilon cV, V)^T$ reaches the point $(\xi_1, V)^T$, where $\xi_1 \in (-1 - \varepsilon cV, 1 - \varepsilon cV)$. As a consequence, solution x is a finite-time stable limit cycle of (1).

Proof. When $x_2 < V$, system (1) takes the form

$$\dot{x} = g(x, \varepsilon), \tag{7}$$

where

$$g(x, \varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 + 1 + F(x_2 - V, \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $t \mapsto X(t, \xi, \varepsilon)$ be the solution x of (7) with the initial condition $x(0) = \xi$. To prove the proposition, we have to establish the existence of a function $T(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$X_1\left(T(\varepsilon), \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon cV \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon\right) < 1 - \varepsilon cV,$$

$$X_2\left(T(\varepsilon), \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon cV \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon\right) = V$$

and for which

$$X_2\left(t, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon cV \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon\right) < V \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0, T(\varepsilon)).$$

Since the trajectory $t \mapsto X\left(t, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon cV \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon\right)$ approaches the circle of period 2π as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we look for the solution $T(\varepsilon)$ that approaches 2π as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Step 1. Consider[1]

$$G(a,\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(X_2\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\cdot a,\begin{pmatrix}1-\varepsilon cV\\ V\end{pmatrix},\varepsilon\right)-V\right),$$

which can be viewed as a *desingularization* or *blow-up* of the function $\varepsilon G(a,\varepsilon)$ at $\varepsilon=0$. To apply the Implicit Function Theorem (see e.g. [25, § 8.5.4, Theorem 1]), we need to find $a\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$G(a,0)=0,$$

where $G(a,0)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}G(a,\varepsilon)$ by definition. In what follows, the abbreviation " $\overset{L}{=}$ " stays for "by applying the l'Hospital rule". Letting

$$\phi(a,\varepsilon)=2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\cdot a,\begin{pmatrix}1-\varepsilon cV\\ V\end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$G(a,0)\overset{L}{=}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\left(X_2(\phi(a,\varepsilon),\varepsilon)-V\right)=$$

$$=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{X_{2t}'\left(\phi(a,\varepsilon),\varepsilon\right)}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}a+\right.$$
$$\left.+X_{2x_1}'\left(\phi(a,\varepsilon),\varepsilon\right)\cdot(-cV)+X_{2\varepsilon}'\left(\phi(a,\varepsilon),\varepsilon\right)\right)=$$

$$\overset{L}{=}\frac{1}{2}X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)a^2-$$
$$-cV\cdot X_{2x_1}'\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)+X_{2\varepsilon}'\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right).$$

Since the property

$$X_2\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}\xi_1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)=V\quad\text{for any }\xi_1\in\mathbb{R}$$

implies

$$X_{2x_1}'\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)=0,$$

the equation

$$G(a,0)=0$$

yields

$$a=\pm\sqrt{-2\cdot\frac{X_{2\varepsilon}'\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)}{X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)}}.\tag{8}$$

The two roots correspond to the two intersections of the solution

$$x_\varepsilon(t)=X\left(t,\begin{pmatrix}1-\varepsilon cV\\ V\end{pmatrix},\varepsilon\right)$$



Fig. 4 Three possible locations of solution x_ε with respect to x_0.

with the line $x_2=V$, see Fig. 4 (case 3).

To check the condition

$$G_a'(a,0)\neq 0$$

of the Implicit Function Theorem, we compute

$$G_a'(a,\varepsilon)=\frac{X_{2t}'\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\cdot a,\begin{pmatrix}1-\varepsilon cV\\ V\end{pmatrix},\varepsilon\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Therefore,

$$G_a'(a,0)\overset{L}{=}X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right).$$

Step 2. Computing $X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\ V\end{pmatrix},0\right)$. By taking the derivative of

$$X_t'\left(t,(1,V)^T,\varepsilon\right)=g\left(X\left(t,(1,V)^T,\varepsilon\right),\varepsilon\right),\tag{9}$$

[1] Similar analysis can be execute by letting $\varepsilon=a\delta^2$ and by considering

$$G(\delta,a)=\frac{1}{\delta}\left(X_2\left(2\pi-\delta,\begin{pmatrix}1-a\delta^2cV\\ V\end{pmatrix},a\delta^2\right)-V\right).$$

in t, one gets

$$X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\right)=$$
$$=g_{2x}'\left(X\left(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\right),0\right)X_t'\left(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\right)=$$
$$=g_{2x}'\left(X\Big(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\Big),0\right)g\left(X\Big(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\Big),0\right).$$

The function $t\mapsto X\left(t,(1,V)^T,0\right)$ is the solution of the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1&=x_2\\ \dot{x}_2&=-x_1+1.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Thus, $X\left(2\pi,(1,V)^T,0\right)=X\left(0,(1,V)^T,0\right)=(1,V)^T$ and $X_{2tt}''\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\V\end{pmatrix},0\right)=(-1,0)\begin{pmatrix}V\\0\end{pmatrix}=-V$.

Step 3. Computing $X_{2\varepsilon}'\left(2\pi,\begin{pmatrix}1\\V\end{pmatrix},0\right)$. Let $y(t)=X_\varepsilon'\left(t,(1,V)^T,0\right)$. By taking the derivative of (9) in ε one gets

$$\dot{y}=g_x'\left(X\left(t,(1,V)^T,0\right),0\right)y+g_\varepsilon'\left(X\left(t,(1,V)^T,0\right),0\right)$$

or

$$\dot{y}=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}y+\begin{pmatrix}0\\-cX_2(t,(1,V)^T,0)+F_\varepsilon'\left(X_2(t,(1,V)^T,0)-V\right)\end{pmatrix}.\tag{11}$$

By solving (10),

$$X_2\left(t,(1,V)^T,0\right)=V\cos(t),$$

and the method of variation of constants yields

$$y(t)=Z(t)y(0)+$$
$$+Z(t)\int_0^t Z(-\tau)\begin{pmatrix}0\\-cV\cos\tau+F_\varepsilon'\left(V\cos\tau-V\right)\end{pmatrix}d\tau,$$

where $Z(t)$ is the solution of the linear part of (11) given by

$$Z(t)=\begin{pmatrix}\cos t&\sin t\\-\sin t&\cos t\end{pmatrix}.$$

By noticing that $y(0)=0$, we finally conclude

$$y_2(2\pi)=\int_0^{2\pi}\cos\tau(-cV\cos\tau+F_\varepsilon'\left(V\cos\tau-V,0\right))d\tau=$$
$$=-cV\pi+\int_0^{2\pi}F_\varepsilon'(V\cos\tau-V,0)\cos\tau\,d\tau.$$

Step 4. Substituting the results of Step 2 and Step 3 into (8), and by using assumption (6), we conclude the existence of $a_-<0$ and $a_+>0$ such that

$$G(a_-,0)=G(a_+,0)=0 \text{ and } G_a'(a_-,0)\cdot G_a'(a_+,0)\neq 0.$$

Therefore, by the Implicit Function Theorem, there exist

$$a_-(\varepsilon)\to a_- \quad\text{and}\quad a_+(\varepsilon)\to a_+ \quad\text{as}\quad \varepsilon\to 0$$

such that

$$G(a_-(\varepsilon),\varepsilon)=G(a_+(\varepsilon),\varepsilon)=0$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. This implies

$$x_{2,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon)\right)=x_{2,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_+(\varepsilon)\right)=V$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. The following three cases are possible now (see Fig. 4).

Case 1: $x_{1,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon)\right)>1-\varepsilon cV$. This case is impossible because it implies that the solution x_ε crosses itself on $(0,2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon))$, which cannot happen because of uniqueness of solutions of (7).

Case 2: $x_{1,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon)\right)=1-\varepsilon cV$. In this case x_ε is a $(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon))$-periodic solution of (7) that intersects $x_2=V$ at only one point $(1-\varepsilon cV,V)$. This contradicts the existence of the second intersection

$$\left(x_{1,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_+(\varepsilon)\right),V\right).$$

Case 3: $x_{1,\varepsilon}\left(2\pi+\sqrt{\varepsilon}a_-(\varepsilon)\right)<1-\varepsilon cV$. This is what was required to prove.

The proof of the proposition is complete. □

5 The case of Stribeck nonlinearity

Stribeck effect is a particular type of nonlinearity in dry friction characteristics that exhibits non-monotonicity with respect to velocity (see [13, §4.1-4.2]). The following function

$$F(x_2,\varepsilon)=\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\varepsilon\gamma|x_2|}+\alpha+\varepsilon\beta x_2^2-1,$$

is one of the common ways to introduce Stribeck effect in the dry friction oscillator of Fig. 1, see [19,5,9,10] (where graphs of Stribeck dry friction for various parameters are also available). Here $\alpha,\beta,\gamma>0$ are fixed constants and $\varepsilon>0$ measures how strong the Stribeck effect is.

In this section we apply Proposition 2 in order to determine the constants α,β,γ that ensure the occurrence of

stick-slip oscillations when $\varepsilon > 0$ crosses zero. To this end we compute F'_ε and get

$$F'_\varepsilon(x_2, 0) = \gamma(1-\lambda)x_2 + \beta x_2^2.$$

Accordingly, (6) takes the form

$$cV\pi < -\pi V(\alpha\gamma + 2\beta V - \gamma)$$

or, equivalently,

$$-c + \gamma(1-\alpha) - 2\beta V > 0. \tag{12}$$

To summarize, the following results is obtained.

Proposition 3 *If (12) holds then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the dry friction oscillator with Stribeck effect*

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ &\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 - \operatorname{sign}(x_2 - V)\cdot \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\varepsilon\gamma|x_2 - V|} + \alpha + \varepsilon\beta(x_2 - V)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

admits a finite-time stable stick-slip limit cycle x_ε that passes through the point $(1-\varepsilon cV, V)$.

5.1 Comparison with the divergence test

In this section we offer an alternative simpler approach to derive sufficient conditions for the existence of stick-slip limit cycles in (13). Following, [16], this approach is based on computing the divergence. In the context of the model (13) the divergence is used in [5] to prove the lack of limit cycles.

The equilibrium of this system is $(\xi, 0) = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\varepsilon\gamma V} + \alpha + \varepsilon\beta V^2, 0 \right)$, which is unstable if

$$-c + \frac{\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\varepsilon\gamma V)^2} - 2\beta V > 0. \tag{14}$$

Note, that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, (14) is a consequence of (12). We assume that (14) holds from now on. Denote by L the $x_2 > 0$ part of the line through $(\xi, 0)$ and $(1-\varepsilon cV, V)$, see Fig. 5. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, then the solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ of equation (13) with the initial condition $(x_1(0), x_2(0)) = (1-\varepsilon cV, V)$ will intersect the $x_2 > 0$ part of line L at some time moment $\tau > 0$ again. Assume that $\tau > 0$ is the first time moment when $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ intersects the $x_2 > 0$ part of L. There are two cases to consider:

$x_2(\tau) \le 1$: This solution x is the bigger solid curve in Fig 5. Since the equilibrium $(\xi, 0)$ is unstable, then there is an orbit $(\hat{x}_1(t), \hat{x}_2(t))$ with the initial condition on L and close to $(x_0, 0)$ such that for the next intersection



Fig. 5 Illustration of the proof of the lack of limit cycles in (15) which live entirely below $x_2 = V$.

$(\hat{x}(\hat{t}), \hat{y}(\hat{t}))$ of this orbit with L we have $\hat{y}(\hat{t}) > \hat{y}(0)$ (smaller dotted curve in Fig 5). This implies that the system

$$\dot{x} = g(x, \varepsilon), \tag{15}$$

with $g(x, \varepsilon)$ given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} x_2, \\ -x_1 - \varepsilon c x_2 + \dfrac{1-\alpha}{1-\varepsilon\gamma(x_2 - V)} + \alpha + \varepsilon\beta(x_2 - V)^2 \end{array} \right),$$

possesses a closed orbit $\tilde{x}$ with the initial condition on L (dotted curve in Fig 5). The cycle we obtained is not a stick-slip limit cycle and therefore we want to rule out the possibility of the existence of $\tilde{x}$. This will be accomplished over the Criterion of Bendixson [11, Ch. X, §7] which requires that the divergence of the vector field is strictly positive inside the limit cycle and along the limit cycle. The divergence of (15) computes as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} g(x) &= \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1}(x) + \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2}(x) = \\ &= -\varepsilon c + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1-\varepsilon\gamma(x_2 - V))^2} + 2\varepsilon\beta(x_2 - V). \end{aligned}$$

A feasible sufficient condition for $\operatorname{div} g(x)$ to be positive along $\tilde{x}$ is

$$-c + \gamma(1-\alpha) + 2\beta(\tilde{x}_2(t) - V) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which needs more than just (12).

$x_2(\tau) > 1$: In his case the existence of a (finite-time stable) stick-slip limit cycle follows by applying Proposition 1.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we used perturbation theory to obtain a sufficient condition (6) for the existence of stick-slip oscillations in a dry-friction oscillator on a moving belt

(see (1) and Fig. 1) assuming that both the viscous friction and the nonlinear part of the dry friction characteristics are ε-small. It can be seen from the proof of proposition 2 that our sufficient condition is sharp in the sense that the reversed inequality (6) implies that no stick-slip limit cycles occur in (1) as $\varepsilon > 0$ crosses zero. The test can be applied to virtually any nonlinear friction characteristics as long as it is small. To illustrate the result, we used our test to derive a simple algebraic relation (12) for the constants of Stribeck friction characteristics that ensures the occurrence of stick-slip oscillations.

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by NSF Grant CMMI-1436856.

References

1. J. Awrejcewicz, C.-H. Lamarque, Bifurcation and chaos in nonsmooth mechanical systems. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A: Monographs and Treatises, 45. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003. xviii+543 pp.
2. N. Begun, S. Kryzhevich, One-dimensional chaos in a system with dry friction: analytical approach. Meccanica 50 (2015), no. 8, 1935–1948.
3. J. M. Carlson, S. Langer, Mechanical model of an earthquake fault, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 6470–6484.
4. A. Fidlin, Nonlinear Oscillations in Mechanical Engineering, Springer: Berlin – Heidelberg (2005) 356 p.
5. U. Galvanetto, S. R. Bishop, Dynamics of a Simple Damped Oscillator Undergoing Stick-Slip Vibrations, Meccanica 34: 337–347, 1999.
6. Ch. Glocker, E.Cataldi-Spinola, R.I.Leine, Curve squealingoftrains: Measurement, modelling and simulation, Journal of Sound and Vibration 324 (2009) 365–386.
7. M. A. Heckl, I. D. Abrahams, Curve squeal of train wheels, part 1: Mathematical model for its generation, Journal of Sound and Vibration 229 (2000), no. 3, 669–693.
8. I. M. Held, The gap between simulation and understanding in climate modeling, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 86 (2005), no. 11, 1609–1614.
9. J. Huang, D. L. Turcotte, Evidence for chaotic fault interactions in the seismicity of the San Andreas fault and Nankai trough, Nature 348 (1990) 234–236.
10. M. Kunze, T. Kuepper, Qualitative bifurcation analysis of a non-smooth friction-oscillator model, Z. angew. Math. Phys. 48 (1997) 87–101.
11. S. Lefschetz, Differential equations: Geometric theory. Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VI. Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-Lond on 1963 x+390 pp.
12. R. I. Leine, D. H. van Campen, W. J. G. Keultjes, Stick-slip Whirl Interaction in Drillstring Dynamics, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics - Transactions of ASME 124 (2002), no. 2, 209–220.
13. R. Leine, H. Nijmeijer, Dynamics and bifurcations of non-smooth mechanical systems, Springer, 2004.
14. Q.-H. Li, Y.-M. Chen, Z.-Y. Qin, Existence of Stick-Slip Periodic Solutions in a Dry Friction Oscillator, Chin. Phys. Lett. 28 (2011), no. 3, 030502.
15. C.-S. Liu and W.-T. Chang, Frictional behaviour of a belt-driven and periodically excited oscillator, Journal of Sound and Vibration 258 (2002), no. 2, 247–268.
16. J. Llibre, J. Sotomayor, Phase portraits of planar control systems, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications 27 (1996), no 10, 1177–1197.
17. O. Makarenkov, J. S. W. Lamb, Dynamics and bifurcations of nonsmooth systems: a survey. Phys. D 241 (2012), no. 22, 1826–1844.
18. J. Nussbaum, A. Ruina, A two degree-of-freedom earthquake model with static/dynamic friction, PAGEOPH 125 (1987) 629–656.
19. M. Oestreich, N. Hinrichs, K. Popp, Bifurcation and stability analysis for a non-smooth friction oscillator, Archive of Applied Mechanics 66 (1996) 301–314.
20. M. Pascal, New limit cycles of dry friction oscillators under harmonic load, Nonlinear Dynamics 70 (2012) 1435–1443.
21. T. K. Pratt, R. Williams, Non-linear analysis of stick/slip motion, Journal of Sound and Vibration 74 (1981), no. 4, 531–542.
22. V. B. Ryabov, H. M. Ito, Phys. Rev. E 52 (1995), no. 6, 6101–6112.
23. R. Szalai, H. M. Osinga, Invariant polygons in systems with grazing-sliding. Chaos 18 (2008), no. 2, 023121, 11 pp.
24. M. Wiercigroch, A Note on the Switch Function for the Stick-Slip Phenomenon, Journal of Sound and Vibration 175 (1994), no. 5, 700–704.
25. V. A. Zorich, Mathematical analysis. I. Translated from the 2002 fourth Russian edition by Roger Cooke. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin,2004. xviii+574 pp.